# **Optimal Timing of Chip Seal**

#### Matild Dosa, M.S.

Presented by:

#### Waleed Zeiada

Postdoctoral Scholar, ASU.

November, 2012





#### **Author's Contact Information**

Matild Dosa, M.S. Wightman Petrie Company Matild.Dosa@asu.edu

Dr. Mike Mamlouk, PE., F.ASCE, Arizona State University mamlouk@asu.edu



### **Background: What is the Chip Seal?**



# Background: Preventive Maintenance Treatments





# Background: Pavement Performance and Rehabilitation



# Background: Expected Benefits of Successive Preventive Maintenance



### **Problem Statement**

- No formal guidelines that tie chip seal treatment timing to effectiveness.
- Use of empirical, experience-based approach in applying chip seal.



# **Objectives and Scope of Study**

- Evaluate the effectiveness of single-application of chip seal using IRI data from LTPP Database at different times.
- Compare the performance of chip seal sections to flexible untreated (control) sections.
- Relate findings to climatic, and traffic conditions.



# **Information Extracted from LTPP Database**



ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

## **Extraction of LTPP Sections**

#### **Chip Seal Section Criteria**

- Single-layer surface treatment.
- Ignore sections that received other treatments at the same time as chip seal.

#### **Control Section Criteria**

- Flexible pavement only.
- No maintenance or rehabilitation treatments for a number of years.



### **Initial Roughness and Modeling**



ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

# **Evaluation of Long-Term Effectiveness**

# Extended service life.

# Relative Benefit.

# Benefit-Cost (B/C) Ratio.



### Life Extension and Relative Benefit



R

### **Benefit-Cost Ratio**

Benefit – Cost Ratio = 
$$\left(\frac{B}{C}\right) \times 1000$$

where:

B = Benefit Area (B) and C = Cost (\$27,300 per lane-mile) (Hajj, et al. 2011; Loria, et al. 2011)



# **Treatment Timing-Based (TT) Analysis**





# **Initial Condition-Based (IC) Analysis**



# Climatic Regions, Initial Condition Categories and Normalization

Initial Pavement Condition	Interval of Initial Roughness (in/mile)	Specified Initial Condition Value for Normalization (in/mile)	Climatic Regions
Smooth	40-80	60	Dry Freeze
			Dry Non-Freeze
			Wet Freeze
			Wet Non-Freeze
Medium	80-120		Dry Freeze
		100	Dry Non-Freeze
		100	Wet Freeze
			Wet Non-Freeze
Rough	120-160+ 140	140	Dry Freeze
			Dry Non-Freeze
		140	Wet Freeze
			Wet Non-Freeze

## **Number of Climatic Regions and Sections**

Climatic Region	Section Type	Number of Sections		
		Initial Condition		
		Smooth	Medium	Rough
Dry Freeze	Chip Seal	26	7	3
	Control	33	6	3
Dry Non-Freeze	Chip Seal	6	8	3
	Control	45	7	1
Wet Freeze	Chip Seal	20	16	3
	Control	27	7	2
Wet Non-Freeze	Chip Seal	15	6	5
	Control	40	23	2



ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

# Normalized Performance Curves for Wet Freeze, Smooth Initial Condition

Wet Freeze, Smooth Initial Condition



# Normalized Performance Curves for Wet Freeze, Medium Initial Condition

Wet Freeze, Medium Initial Condition



AR

## Normalized Performance Curves for Dry Freeze, Rough Initial Condition

Wet Freeze, Rough Initial Condition



AR

### Life Extension Due to Chip Seal



#### **Relative Benefit**

#### Relative Benefit of Chip Seal Based on Initial Pavement Condition



#### **Benefit-Cost Ratio**

Benefit-Cost Ratio for Chip Seal Based on Initial Pavement Condition



**Climatic Region** 

# Conclusions

- Treated sections performed better than untreated sections, as assumed.
- Chip Seal Life Extension:
  - Smooth  $\rightarrow$  4-7 years
  - Medium  $\rightarrow$  2-3 years
  - Rough  $\rightarrow$  0-1 years
- <u>Chip Seal Relative Benefit:</u>
  - Smooth  $\rightarrow$  22-29 percent
  - Medium  $\rightarrow$  16-21 percent



- Rough  $\rightarrow$  0-11 percent

# Conclusions

- <u>Chip Seal Benefit-Cost Ratio:</u>
  - Smooth  $\rightarrow$  8-15
  - Medium  $\rightarrow$  3-4
  - Rough  $\rightarrow$  Zero

### <u>Climate:</u>

No true correlation found between effectiveness,
Traffic, and climatic conditions.



engineering.asu.edu

### **Thank You!**



